

App. F. IX-4

1935:36

File No. 16/35-

Previous References

Law. 12-35-1-2

CS. 16-35-38, 39, 44, 49, 50 (encl.)

(CS)

Serial Nos. 55, 56, 62,
64, 65, 75, 79,
84.

Later References

Pal 39-36-1

CS. 16-36-26, 27, 36, 38 (cc)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 55, 56, 62, 64, 65, 75, 79, 84

Part B for Jan'y. 1936

SUBJECT.

Case alleged to have been
filed in the Court of District
Magistrate, Tumkur by one Seth
Dand Abdullah.

Serial
No.

55

Do letter no 7. 156 of 16.11.35
From The Secy to the Reside
States that a criminal case has be
filed against deendun & his followers
in the Court of the Dis- Magte. Janku
and requests to be furnished with
particulars re. the case.

A draft calling for a report from
the D.C. Janku is sent

19/11/35

The letter may come. The copy
of Akhalam in which the article upon
to in Raddery letter is found to appear
may be put up.

56

no. 1168 dated 19.11.35

To the Dis- Magte. Janku.

Calls for a report in the matter.

To the publicis- Office, for kindly putting
up the paper containing the article
referred to.

29/11/35

Encl.

I saw the Nov. 1935 file of the Akhalam

File No. 16

of 1935.

General and Revenue
Secretariat

DEWAN'S OFFICE
25 NOV. 1935
3333

The article referred to by the Residency
in their letter, is, it is said, not
traceable. (Vide note by the Publicity Office, overleaf).
Subd for order. It is not traceable
in the November file. The earlier
22/11/35 issues also have to be ~~seen~~
searched.

Cpl 22/11
Hypok () he may await
Dr. Roper's report
Chief Secretary
for Punjab

22 NOV. 1935

Dewan)

22/11

in case

I don't remember a black and white
character on the page. Mr
25-11-35

Publicity Office
to kind take these
to papers of Alkalani
return this file to
9/12

The "Alkalani" issues
later and the file returns
7/12

Letter to J.C. 4 dated 5-12-35
from the D.P. Thurman.

States that no case has been filed
in his Court against Deendar & his
family etc.

Draft reply to the Residency
is subd

9/12/35 The Residency letter specifically
refers to an article that appeared
in the "Alkalani" regarding a case
alleged to have been filed

Serial
No.

in the Court of the District Magistrate
by one Seth Daud Abdulla Jamkore

The D.C. Jamkore in his present
letter does not refer to any individual
of this name.

There is perhaps a possibility
of a case having been filed in Bangalore
and it is worth while verifying definitely
what the article referred to (of Alkalani)

~~is at~~ exactly ~~no~~ The Publicist's office
is unable to give any information about the

The ~~Dr. Maghe Bangalore~~ ^{D.C. of Police or D.H. Bangalore} may also
be perhaps consulted.

CPB
9/12/35
Under Secy

The D.C.P. may be asked to
advise Dr. Maghe, Bangalore.

May maybe able to set at the
"Alkalani" reference

Cheneybury

10/12

10/12

Letter to D.C.P. and Dr. Maghe Bangalore,
put up

10/12

CPB
10/12/35

WD 03594-GPB-30,000-13-7-35

Under Secy

64

no. 1351 } dated 10.12.35
no. 1352 }

To the Dir. Maghe Bangalore
+ the D.C.P. calls to the info

835

CS File No. 16

of 1935

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Letter No. CC 116 Dated 12.12.35 65
from the Dist Magte. Bangalore
States that there is no such case
filed in his Court against Siddik Deen B.
Subd for order. The rept from the
S.D. P. has not been recd yet.
May it be awaited?

11/12/35

The repls of the Dist Magte Bangalore,
gives ~~some~~ definite information about the
particular person referred to in the Residence letter,
though the report of the Dist Magte Tumkur is
not sufficiently full to confirm it: The Bangalore
D.C. says that Seth Daud Abdulla is a Kathiawar
merchant of Tumkur is a Kathiawar merchant
of Tumkur & this man is said to have filed an
appln. before the Tumkur Dist Magte some time ago.

[The particulars given in the Tumkur D.C.'s letter are
not ~~very~~ specific either about the name of
this individual, or the "Al Kalam" article.]

The Dist Magte Tumkur may be definitely asked about
this individual (Seth Daud Abdulla) and to send
a copy of any petition filed by him.

Yes
Bm
20/12

Perhaps the report of the D.C. Police (which is still due)
will give some information.

CS 20/12/35

The D.C. Tumkur may be given the info furnished by the
D.C. Bangalore
20/12

CHIEF SECRETARY
20 DEC. 1935

Serial
No.

Draft Calling for the information
is sent

23/12/35
Cpa
Gunderberg

done
to reply ✓

1475/C.S. dated 23.12.35

To the D.C. Tumkur.

Call for the required information.

75 Letter no. J.C. 4 dated 10.1.36
from the D.C. Tumkur

states that the particulars required
regarding the case alleged to have been
filed against Seender & his followers are
not available.

Subd to order. A reply is due to the
Residency in the matter.

13/1/36
Cpa

Draft of a reminder to
S.G.P. also put up

done

u

16/1

Issued P 1616 dt 16.1.36.

Serial
No.

51029

CS

Do No 7. 156 dated 21.1.35
from the Secy to the President
Reminder.

M' N'

CHIEF SECRETARY.

3 JAN. 1936

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

The ones of Jumbur & Bangalore have
reported that no case of the kind
referred to in the letter (P.H.S) viz
case alleged to have been filed by Seth
David Abanulla against Sen Sar. The D.G.P
who was addressed in the matter has
not replied. He was reminded on 16.1.36.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13-7-35

Draft ad. interim reply is filed

Don
23/1

Issue
12-1-36

676
1060

CP. File No. 66

of 1935

General and Revenue
Secretariat

pol no. 776 dated 26.1.36 79

To The Secy to the Resident

Informing him that inquiries so far made show that no case of the kind referred to by him has been filed either in Bangalore or in Tumkur.

Letter no S.D. 664 dated 27.1.36 84

From the S.D. P.

States that there is no petition filed by Seth Aboulha before the Dist. Magistrate of Tumkur and that no article in Al-Kalam is traceable re. the case.

The Residency has already been informed that no case, as is referred to by them, has been filed in any of the Courts of Bangalore or Tumkur. The S.D. of police has also reported the same thing now. He has also stated that the article in the newspaper "Al-Kalam" is not to be traced. Subd with refs.

27/1/36

No further action appears necessary. The papers may perhaps be filed

CPA
27/1/36

28.1.36

CHIEF SECRETARY
27 JAN. 1936

Secret
D.O.No.F.156/1935.



CP: 676
Bangalore, 16th November 1935
CS 16-35-55

Am
18/11

My dear Mr. Ramanathan.

I am desirous to acknowledge the receipt of
X
Mag. B. your secret demi-official letter No. Pol. 516/CB. 16.35-49
dated the 11th November 1935, and to convey the Resident's
thanks to the Government of Mysore for the trouble taken
in collecting the information asked for.

[It is understood that an article recently
appeared in the "Alkalam" published in Bangalore City regard-
ing a case alleged to have been filed in the court of the
District Magistrate by Seth Daud Abdulla of Tamkore (Tumkur?)
on the ground that the missionary activities of the members
of the Dindar Anjuman are directed towards proselytism to
Islam and are therefore inimical to communal harmony.] I
should be greatly obliged if you could kindly let me have
any information that may be available about this case.

Yours sincerely

A.V. Ramanathan Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

Confidential

No 1168

To

CS. 16-35-56

Date 19/11/35

The Dist Magistrate
Imphal

Dear Sir,

It is understood that an article has recently appeared in the "Al-Kalam" an Urdu paper published in Bangalore city, regarding a case alleged to have been filed in the Court of the Dist Magistrate, Imphal by one Sair Sarood Akhunda of the place, on the ground that the missionary activities of the members of the Deendar Ayyaz are directed towards proselytizing to Islam and are therefore inimical to Communal harmony.

I am desired to repeat to kind favour sent ^{early} with any particulars that may be available in the matter.

19th November 1935.

1168/C.B.16-35-56

Confidential.

My dear Abdul Khadir
~~Dear Sir,~~

It is understood that an article has recently appeared in the "Al-Kalam" an Urdu Paper published in Bangalore City, regarding a case alleged to have been filed in the Court of the District Magistrate, Tumkur by one Seth Daud Abdulla of the place, on the ground that the missionary activities of the members of the Deendar Anjuman are directed towards prosleytism to Islam and are therefore inimical to communal harmony.

I am desired to request you to kindly favour Government early with any particulars that may be available in the matter.

Yours sincerely,



T. Abdul Khadir, Esqr., B.A.,
District Magistrate, Tumkur District.

Mr. T. ABDUL KHADIR, B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
TUMKUR DISTRICT.

CONFIDENTIAL

Dated Tumkur, the...6th...Decr.....193 5

D.O. No. J.C.4/35-36

Dear Sir,

With reference to your confidential D.O.

No.1168/C.B.16-35-36 dated 19th November 1935,

regarding the activities of Deendar Channabasaveswara

I write to invite your kind attention to my letter No.

J.C.4/35-36 dated 11th July 1935 and Government Order

No.(CONFIDENTIAL) P.553 Law 12-35-2 dated 24/25th

July 1935 thereon. AS suggested in the above order,

the District Superintendent of Police, Tumkur, had

been asked to be on the alert and prevent the publica-

tion of such matter. Copies of report Nos.S.B.3/35-36

dated 24-7-35 and 22nd November 1935 received from

the District Superintendent of Police are enclosed

herewith for the information of Government. No case

is


Letter No.S.B.3/35-36 dated 22-11-35 from the District Superintendent of Police, Tumkur, to the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, Tumkur.

--90--

With reference to your Memo No.J.C.4/35-36 dated the 21st instant inviting reference to your previous letter of 27th July 1935 regarding the activities of Siddic Deendar a Chennabasaweshwar and also about the petition of certain people of this town against the same person forwarded on 22-7-35 for report, I have the honor to state that a report for the above reference was made with this office No.3/35-36 dated 24-7-35 and a copy of which I have herein enclosed for kind perusal. Further the Police Inspector, Tumkur, has been instructed to be alert on the ~~XXXXX~~ and report if any objectionable publications by and activities of the person come to notice.

With regard to petition regarding a case alleged to have been filed in the court of the District Magistrate, Tumkur, I write to state that no such petition has hitherto been received in this office.

'COPY'



For District Magistrate,
Tumkur District.

T.M.C. 3/12

B
6/12/35

Letter No.S.B.3/35-36 dated 24-7-35 from the Dist. Supdt., of Police, Tumkur, to the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur.

--00--

With reference to your Memo No.J.C.4/35-36 dated 18-7-35 received in this office on 22-7-35 calling for report regarding a petition against Siddic Hussein a Deendar Channabasaweshwar by some Mohamdan residents of Tumkur Town, I have the honor to state that no public lectures were given either by him or by his disciples in this town on any religious or other matter. It is reported by the police inspector, Tumkur, that he visits this place often and stays in the house of Mr. Mir Hussein, Mail Bus Contractor, where he and his disciples meet.


One Zaimuddin Siddick had also presented a petition to this office on 17-7-35 stating that the principles of Siddic Hussein are objectionable and on account of this there would be communal clash etc., and apprehending danger at the hands of Mir Hussein, Abdul Karim, Md. Esuff and Abdul Wahab.

On enquiry by the Police Inspector, the petitioner is reported to have said that one Md. Esuf, a driver under suspension came and threatened him and therefore he suspected that he must have been sent by the Deendar alone to do so etc., which was ascertained to be without any basis.


The petitioner is of Hyderabad, now stopping in Tumkur and as he is against the views of Siddick Hussein, this petition is reported to have been presented by him.

Some Mohamadans of this town are also against the principles of Siddic Hussein and it is reported that manuscript 'fatwas' or announcements in Urdu and Arabic, prohibiting, in general, all the disciples of the newly founded cults from entering the Masjids of Bahirpet and Santhepet in Tumkur Town.

'COPY



For District Magistrate,
Tumkur District.



T.M.C. 6/12

3383
p835

The Bombay Chronicle

Dec 4. 12. 35

URDU BOOK BANNED IN HYDERABAD

(From Our Correspondent.)
HYDERABAD, Dec. 3.

An Urdu book entitled "Roohul
Islam", written by Chenna Basve-
shver alias Siddiq Deendar, and
printed at Hyderabad on the re-
commendation of the Executive
Council has been prohibited in the
Hyderabad State through the
Nizam's "firman."

Initiative for the prohibition of
the book was taken by the Hydera-
bad Residency.

Submitted for kind
perusal.

Ch. Secy)
PP.

WJ

6/12/35

WJ
6/12

WJ 6/12

Returned to the Publicity Office as desired. 6/12

7/12

Please Put this up in

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Confidential & Urgent.

General and Revenue Secretariat

Bangalore, Dated, 10th December 1935.

D. O. No. O.

(1) 135-1

(2) 135-2

CS. 66-85-64

It is understood that an article recently appeared in the "Al-Kalam" published in Bangalore City regarding a case alleged to have been filed in the court of the District Magistrate by Seth Daud Abdulla of Tamkore (Tumkur?) on the ground that the missionary activities of the members of the Dindar Anjuman are directed towards prosleytism to Islam and are therefore inimical to communal harmony.

I am desired to request you to kindly favour Government with any particulars that may be available regarding the article referred to and the case alleged to have been filed.

(1) H.V.Ramasvami, Esqr., M.A., B.L.,
District Magistrate, Bangalore.

....

(2) F.A.Hamilton, Esqr., C.I.E.,
Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

Sus

Mr. H. V. Ramasvami, M.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner

C. B. 835
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
BANGALORE,

D. O. C.C. 116/35-36.

C.B.
Dated 12th December 1935.
CS 16-35-65

Dear Sir,

With reference to Mr. C. Subrahmanya Iyer's D.O. letter No. 1351/C.B. 16-35 dated 10-12-1935 regarding an article said to have been published in the 'Al-Kalam' about a case alleged to have been filed in the Court of the District Magistrate by Seth Daud Abdulla of Tumkur, I write to state that no case like the one referred to was filed in this office.

It is, however, understood that Seth Daud Abdulla is a Kathiawad merchant of Tumkur and that this man had filed an application before the District Magistrate, Tumkur some time ago.

Yours sincerely,

A. V. Ramanathan

A. V. Ramanathan, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to Government,
Bangalore.

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

Confidential

No 1425- / CS 16-35-
date 23/12/35
To The Dist Magistrate
Lunkum

Dear Sir,

I am desired to
invite refer to the Correspondence
ending with your letter
No. J.C. 4-35 dated 6.12.35
regarding a case alleged to
have been ~~presented~~ filed by
one Leth Dand Ashantha of
Lunkum. ~~As to State~~
~~that~~ It is ~~stated~~ ~~stated~~
~~understood~~ ~~that~~ Leth Dand Ashantha
~~is a~~ ~~that~~ Kathiawad Merchant
of Lunkum, and ~~he~~ ^{that} he has filed
an application before the Dist
Magistrate. Lunkum some time ago.

I am to request you to
kindly send for the information

of Govt. a copy of the said ~~file~~
together with any other ^{available} particulars ~~regarding~~
regarding the allegations made
~~in the articles in 'Al-Kalash'~~
~~any, complaints~~ ~~in the~~ ~~in the~~ ~~in the~~
is requested.

23rd December 1935.

Confidential.

1475/C.B. 16-35-

My dear Abdul Khadir

I am desired to invite reference to the correspondence ending with your D. O. letter No. J.C.4-35 dated 6th December 1935 regarding a case alleged to have been filed by one Seth Daud Abdulla of Tumkur. It is stated that Seth Daud Abdulla is a Kathiawad Merchant of Tumkur, and that he had filed an application before the District Magistrate, Tumkur, Some time ago.

I am to request you to kindly send for the information of Government a copy of the said petition together with any other available particulars regarding the allegations made in the articles in "Al-Kalam", if any, complained against, etc

The favour of a very early reply is requested.

Yours sincerely,



T. Abdul Khadir, Esqr., B.A.,
District Magistrate, Tumkur.

MR. T. ABDUL KHADIR, B.A.,
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER.

CS 991
16-35-75
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE.

TUMKUR DISTRICT,
Camp-Mathighatta,

Dated 10th January 1935.

J.C.4/35

Confidential.

Dear sir,

With reference to your Confidential D.O. No.1475/C.B. dated the 23rd December 1935, requesting to furnish further particulars regarding a case alleged to have been filed by one Seth Daud Abdulla of Tumkur, I beg to state that in my D.O. No.J.C. 4/35 dated 6th December 1935 it has already been reported that no case has been filed in my Court. The District Superintendent of Police, Tumkur, who had been asked confidentially to enquire into the matter, reports that there is no person by that name in Tumkur Town and that there is one Daud Abdul Gani Sait, a merchant in Mandipet of this Town, who was found to be ignorant of this. Further it is reported that no one in the Town subscribes for the paper "Al-Kalam".

Under these circumstances, the copy of the petition and other particulars regarding the allegations made in the article in the "Al-Kalam" are not available.

Yours sincerely,

W. S. Chann

CS
Bm
12/1

A. V. Ramanathan, Esq., B.A.,

Chief Secretary to Government of Mysore,

B A N G A L O R E.

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

the favour of a very
early reply. A copy
of the ~~Alkalam~~ article
in "Alkalam" referred
to may also be
kindly ~~to obtained~~
~~sent~~ sent if
available.

Cmple

no 1616 / CS 16-35-
date 16/1/36
8

The S.D. of police
in Mysore

Dear Sir,

I am desired to
invite refer to This Office
letter no. 1352 / CS 16-35-64
dated 10.12.35 ^{calling for particulars} regarding
a case alleged to have been
filed by one Seth Dams Abdulla
of Jimkhu against one
Deendar this farmers
and to request ~~you to~~
~~kindly furnish the information~~
~~requested / Called for above~~
~~Very cord.~~

Yours truly

16th January 1936.

Confidential.

1616 / C.B. 16-35

Dear Sir,

I am desired to invite reference to this office letter No.1352/C.B.16-35-64 dated 10th December 1935 calling for particulars regarding a case alleged to have been filed by one Seth Daud Abdulla of Tumkur against one Deendar and his followers and to request the favour of a very early reply. A copy of the article in "Al-kalam" referred to may also be kindly sent if available.

Yours Sincerely
G

F.A.Hamilton, Esqr., C.I.E.,
Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

Suz

Secret
D.O.No.F.156/1936.



CB 1029
Bangalore, 21st January
1936.

My dear Mr. Ramanathan:

Would you be so good as to let me know whether any information is available regarding the case referred to in my secret demi-official letter No.F.156/1935 dated the 16th November 1935?

Yours sincerely

[Signature]
...

A.V. Ramanathan Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

X7
[Signature]
23-1-36

Pol 776 d 26-1-36
CS-16-85-79

To


The Secretary to the Hon'ble
the Resident in Mysore.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your secret D.O. No. F.156/1936 dated 21st January 1936, I am desired to state that enquiries so far made indicate that no case of the kind referred to in your D.O. letter No. F. 156/1935 dated 16th November 1935 has been filed in the Courts of the District Magistrates of Tumkur or Bangalore. ~~The Inspector General of Police also has been requested to make further enquiries in the matter.~~

I am to request that a copy of the article in the "Al Kalam" referred to in your letter dated 16th November 1935 may be kindly be sent, if available.

Yours sincerely,


26-1-36
Chief Secretary to Government



For approval.

72 H ~~P. 22~~

P. 22 D

Col 776 d 24-1-36

24th January

36.

Secret.

Dear Major Edwards,

With reference to your secret D.O. No.F.156/1936 dated 21st January 1936, I am desired to state that enquiries so far made indicate that no case of the kind referred to in your D. O. letter No. F.156/1935 dated 16th November 1935 has been filed in the Courts of the District Magistrates of Tumkur or Bangalore.

Yours sincerely,



Major C.G.N. Edwards, I.A.,
Secretary to the Hon'ble
The Resident in Mysore,
BANGALORE.



SECRET.

D. O. No. S.B. 164/35-6.

F. A. HAMILTON, Esq., C.I.E.,

Inspector-General of Police
In Mysore.

Cy 1060
C.I. 16-35-87
BANGALORE

27th January 1936.

Dear Mr. Ramanathan,


Mr. Subramanya Iyer's confidential D.O. letter No.1352/C.B. 16-35 of the 10th December last and subsequent letter of the 16th January regarding the particulars of a case alleged to have been filed in the Court of the District Magistrate by one Seth Daud Abdulla of Tumkur.

I write to inform that the District Superintendent of Police, Tumkur, reports that there is no person in Tumkur town by name Seth Daud Abdulla and that no case has been filed in the Court of the District Magistrate, Tumkur, by any such individual. It appears that in reference to the activities of the followers of Deendar Siddick Channabasa-
veswara a petition by one Nazimmudin Siddick of Hyderabad was presented to the District Magistrate on 10-7-35 and also another petition on the same subject was addressed to the Deputy Commissioner by some residents of Tumkur town which during the Police enquiry was ascertained to have been got up by Nazimmudin Siddick of Hyderabad who has since left the place.


~)

As regards a copy of the article in question in "Al-Kalam" the issue of the Paper containing the said article is not traced.

Yours sincerely,



A.V. Ramanathan, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness
The Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.


27-1-36

2